



Effectiveness of using limestone (CaO) as a syngas durch traps

A S Nugroho^{1*}, Suhartoyo¹ and I M Priyanto¹

¹ Department of Mechanical Engineering, Sekolah Tinggi Teknologi Warga Surakarta, Surakarta, Indonesia

*Corresponding author email: arifsn@sttw.ac.id

Abstract

This research aims to determine the effect of using CaO as an adsorbent to produce environmentally friendly combustible gas using biomass waste material. The technology for producing combustible gas or syngas is an updraft gasification system using an air gasification agent. To produce syngas that are not polluted with tar or other impurities, a CaO adsorbent is used. CaO is obtained from mountains in the form of small, irregular granules research is carried out using experimental study methods. CaO is installed in the syngas outlet before the cyclone. CaO is not heated separately but uses heat from the gasification reactor. The raw materials used were rice husks and wood, a mixture composition of 100% rice husks, 75% rice husks and 25% sawdust, 50% rice husks and 50% sawdust, 25% rice husks and 75% sawdust and 100% sawdust wood. The results of this research showed that a mixture of 50% rice husk + 50% sawdust wood produced gas with a high H₂ concentration and low CO where the highest H₂ concentration that could be achieved was 38.95%, and the H₂ content was 24.18% without using CaO absorbent materials. A high increase in H₂ concentration was obtained by adsorbing CaO. The mechanism for CO₂ adsorption by CaO was a heterogeneous reaction to produce CaCO₃.

Keyword

Limestone, CaO, Combustible gas

Introduction

Published: October 20, 2024

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Selection and Peerreview under the responsibility of the 5th BIS-STE 2023 Committee The main energy currently comes from fossils, almost 80% of transportation equipment and industrial machines use fossil fuels in 2020. Nearly 25% of energy originating from fossils is used as transportation fuel and is the largest contributor to air pollution [1]. The weakness of fossil fuels is that they cannot be renewed and will eventually run out. It is predicted that fossil fuels will be scarce in the next few years [2]. Therefore, it is a challenge for researchers to develop renewable fuels that can replace fossil fuels [3]. Biomass has the potential to be developed into a renewable energy source [4]. Indonesia is an agricultural country that has many provinces, one of which is capable of producing rice production of 2.40 million tons in 2022 and 20% of this weight is rice husks [5]. Rice husks weigh around 20% of the weight of rice [6]. Rice husks are rice husks and have not been used optimally [7]. Indonesia is located in a tropical area and has forest products in the form of wood, this wood is processed for furniture or houses [8]. The wood production process also produces waste [9] which has the potential to be processed into alternative fuels [10]. By looking at the interrelated needs between food and energy, the use of rice husks as an environmentally friendly energy source is very interesting to develop [11]. One way to convert biomass into biofuel is by gasification [12]. Gasification can be classified based on the gasification agent, including air, steam, steam-oxygen, and air-steam [13]. Air gasification can obtain a producer gas calorific value of 4-6 MJ/m³ with a hydrogen content of 8-14 vol% [14]. The temperature of the gasification agent [15], the gasification agent, and the material being gasified greatly influence the gasification results, namely volume, the heating value of the syngas, and gas content [16]. Syngas resulting from gasification using air agents has a low heating value (4-6 MJ/m³) [17]. In a gasification reactor, there are several zones, in each zone a chemical reaction occurs, and the drying zone occurs at a temperature between 25°C-150°C. In the drying zone, the water content in the biomass evaporates, and no chemical reactions occur. After passing through the drying zone, the biomass then enters the pyrolysis or devolatilization zone. In the pyrolysis process, slow pyrolysis occurs if the process temperature is below 3500C or fast pyrolysis if the temperature is above 8000C. The pyrolysis process produces products, namely syngas (H₂, CO, CO₂, H₂O, and CH₄), tar, and charcoal [18]. In general, the reaction that occurs in pyrolysis and its products is Biomass \rightarrow charcoal + water vapor + gas + and tar. Charcoal, tar, pyrolysis oil, the resulting gas will then be oxidized by oxygen from the air. This event occurs in the oxidation or combustion zone [19]. The heat produced from this reaction is used for the drying process and other endothermic reactions. The reactions that occur in the combustion process are as follows:

$$C + O2 \to CO_2 \tag{1}$$

In the combustion zone, the oxidation of hydrogen contained in biomass also takes place. The reaction is as follows:

$$H2 + \frac{1}{2} 02 \to H20$$
 (2)

In the reduction zone, endothermic events occur and require heat produced from the combustion reaction. The products produced in this process are fuel gases, such as H₂, CO, and CH₄. The following reactions are four reactions commonly involved in gasification.

$C + CO_2 \leftrightarrow 2 CO$	(Boudouard reaction)	(3)
$C + H_2O \leftrightarrow CO + H_2$	(Steam-carbon reaction)	(4)
$\text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow \text{CO2} + \text{H}_2$	(Water-gas shift reaction)	(5)
$CO + 3H_2 \leftrightarrow CH_4 + H_2O$	(CO methanation)	(6)

In the gasification process, there is a water gas shift (WGS) reaction which converts carbon monoxide and water vapor into hydrogen and carbon dioxide. Carbon dioxide can reduce the hydrogen concentration in the producer gas, and water vapor comes from the biomass itself. An interesting method used to reduce the amount of carbon

dioxide in producer gas is the carbonation reaction where calcium oxide (CaO) is used as a reactant to react with carbon dioxide (CO₂) to form calcium carbonate (CaCO₃) by releasing energy for the reaction [20]. The carbonation reaction can be seen in equation 7 [21].

$$CaO + CO_2 \leftrightarrow CaCO_3 \qquad \Delta H = -178,9 \text{ kJ/mol}$$
 (7)

The carbonation reaction can proceed both forward and backward. The reverse reaction is a decarbonization or calcination reaction. This reaction, which can run in both directions, can convert CaO into calcium carbonate (CaCO₃) but requires heat. The carbonation reaction depends on temperature, the higher the temperature, the higher the partial pressure of carbon dioxide. So, if the temperature is high, the partial pressure of carbon dioxide required to react with CaO to form CaCO₃ is higher (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Equilibrium partial pressure of CO₂ on CaO as a function of temperature [22]

By adding CaO it is hoped that it can reduce the carbon dioxide concentration by 93.33% when compared to gasification without CaO [23].

1. Hydration events (H2O absorption), take place at temperatures below 3000C

$$CaO + H_2O \checkmark Ca(OH)_2 + High temperature$$
 (8)

2. Dehydration event, takes place at a temperature of 400° C – 650° C

$$Ca(OH)_2 \quad \nabla CaO + H_2O \tag{9}$$

3. The carbonation event takes place at a temperature of 400° C – 650° C

$$CaO + CO_2 \checkmark CaCO_3 \tag{10}$$

4. Calcination event, takes place above a temperature of 6500C

 $CaCO_3 + high temperature \checkmark CaO + CO_2$ (11)

Methods

Raw materials and equipment

This research utilizes agricultural waste in the form of rice husks, the type of rice is not specified. The water content of rice husks is 11%, and the size of the rice husks is

V124015-3

negligible. Sawn wood is in powder form and has a water content of 11%, screened 20 - 40 mesh. Both raw materials are dried by drying them under the hot rays of the sun. CO_2 Adsorb uses CaO which is installed in the gas channel resulting from gasification, CaO weighing 50 grams in granule form. The gasification reactor is an updraft fixed bed reactor with a capacity of 1.8 kg using air as a gasification agent (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Gasification test

Thermoreaders and thermocouples are used to measure environmental temperature, the temperature in the reactor, heater temperature, and producer gas temperature leaving the reactor. One H₂ and CO gas analyzer unit is used to measure the H₂ and CO gas content (Figure 2). The raw materials for gasification were weighed at 1.8 kg, variations of raw materials for testing were100% rice husks, 75% rice husks + 25% sawdust, 50% rice husks + 50% sawdust, 25% rice husks + 75% sawdust, 100% sawdust.

Research testing steps

The raw materials for gasification, namely rice husks and sawn wood, are weighed according to variations in the raw materials tested, and mixed until homogeneous. Weigh 1.8 kg of gasification raw materials, and put them in the reactor. Light the fire in the reactor without using oil. Close the cover, turn on the air blower, and set the blower air speed to 0.04 - 0.05 l/minute. Place 50 grams of CaO in the syngas exhaust channel, the CaO used is in granule form. The syngas resulting from gasification are passed through the channel to adsorbing impurities by CaO. After the combustible gas comes out of the exhaust outlet, the gas is burned with a flame [24]. If the gas ignites, carry out a test with a tool to determine the content in the syngas, especially the H₂ and CO content [25].

Result and discussion

Gasification tests that have been carried out, obtained the following data. Figure 3 explains the syn gas resulting from gasification, namely the concentration of H_2 in each material variation. The test is carried out by passing syn gas for each variation of raw material to a CaO trap or not passing it. From the test results for each variation, the concentration of H_2 that CaO passes is better than that that CaO does not pass. The highest concentration of H_2 that the syn gas produced through CaO passes through is a mixture of 50% rice husk + 50% sawdust at 32.4%. Data from H_2 concentration testing

results using a raw material mixture of 50% rice husks + 50% sawdust where syngas was not passed through CaO obtained an H2 concentration of 12.7%. Meanwhile, the 100% rice husk material where syngas was passed through CaO as an adsorbent produced an H₂ concentration of 26.9%, while syngas was not passed through CaO and obtained an H₂ concentration of 13.7%. A mixture of 75% husk + 25% sawdust syn gas is passed through a CaO trap resulting in an H₂ concentration of 23.2%, if Syn gas is not passed through CaO the H₂ concentration is 12.7% with the same variation of ingredients. A mixture of 25% husk + 75% sawdust syn gas is passed through CaO as a trap producing an H₂ concentration of 23.7%, if CaO is not passed the H₂ concentration is 13.5%. The material is 100% sawdust, where syn gas is passed through CaO to produce an H2 concentration of 16.5%, and when syn gas is not passed through CaO, the H₂ concentration is 11.1%.



Figure 3. Test results for H₂ concentration in each variation of gasification raw materials

Several previous studies have obtained data that the adsorption of CO_2 using CaO in syn gas can increase the H₂ content, but if the carbonization temperature exceeds 650°C, the H₂ will decrease. CO_2 adsorption to increase H₂ content is very effective at stable temperatures [26]. The novelty of this research is the use of CaO which is not mixed into the gasification material but CaO is placed in the syngas flue channel so that the temperature can be stabilized and the absorption of CO_2 and other impurities can be maximized.

Figure 4 is the result of CO concentration testing for each variation of gasification raw materials. Syn gas from the gasification results is passed through CaO which functions as a trap or not for each variation of gasification raw material. The test results showed that the highest CO concentration was obtained from a mixture of 25% rice husk + and 75% sawdust. In this variation, the CO concentration was obtained as much as 7.3%, where the syn gas was passed through CaO, and where CaO was not passed, the CO concentration was 6.01%. The CO concentration in the mixture variation of 50% rice husk + 50% sawdust where the syngas is passed through CaO is 4.4% and CaO is not passed at 4.1%. Variations in the mixture of 75% rice husk + 25% sawdust where the syngas is passed through CaO where the syngas are passed through CaO, obtained at 6.2% and where CaO is not passed, the CO concentration is not passed through CaO, obtained at 6.2% and where CaO is not passed, the CO concentration is not passed.

5.6%. The 100% sawdust raw material where the syngas was passed through CaO was found to be 5.2% and where CaO was not passed, the CO concentration was 4.9% in the same raw material variation. Figure 3 and Figure 4 show the effect of using a CaO trap on variations in gasification raw materials on H₂ and CO concentrations. CaO comes from nature and is very effective as an adsorbent for dirt carried by syn gas resulting from gasification so that the H₂ and CO produced are higher than without passing through CaO. CaO also functions to adsorb CO₂, with CaO expected to increase the concentration of combustible gas. Syngas enters the CaO reactor so that CO₂ adsorption occurs, an increase in the concentration of hydrogen and carbon monoxide gas (Table 1).



Figure 4. Test results for CO concentration in each variation of gasification raw materials

Table 1. Percentage of CaO content		
Chemical Elements	CaO	
C	6,38%	
0	30,68%	
Са	61,03%	
Al	0,48%	
Si	0,53%	

Increasing the high concentration of H_2 by flowing syngas into the CaO adsorbent causes a CO₂ adsorption mechanism on the CaO surface, resulting in CaCO₃. The white CaCO₃ can be seen sticking to the surface of the CaO, so it can be seen that the CaO after being used as an adsorbent has a denser surface than before it was used. CaO surface after CO₂ adsorption process for 30 minutes. It needs to be explained here that CaO and CaCO₃ each have the same basic color, namely white, so from the SEM analysis in Figure 5 it is still somewhat difficult to distinguish that the white color after the adsorption process is CaCO₃. For this reason, the EDX test was carried out before and after the adsorption process, as can be seen in Table 2. It can be seen that the concentration of C and O in CaO after the adsorption process increased, while the concentration of Ca decreased, indicating that the reaction between CaO and CO₂ produced CaCO₃, where the mass percentage of O in CaCO₃ theoretically is 48%.

From the results of the BET test on the initial CaO, it was obtained that the pore surface area of CaO was $2.89 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ with a pore radius of 115.4 Å. Hydrogen concentration in CaO.

From this test, it can also be seen that the highest H₂ concentration can be achieved amounting to 32.4% when gasifying 50% rice husk + 50% sawdust using CaO as the trap.



(a) CaO Before Use For Research (b) CaO After Use For Research Figure 5. SEM of CaO before and after being used as a CO_2 adsorbent





The CaO adsorption reaction with CO₂ occurs exotherm (Δ H= -178 kJ/mol) while the reaction between C and CO₂ occurs endotherm (Δ H= 131.4 kJ/mol).

Conclusion

Gasification using rice husks and sawdust as raw materials produces environmentally friendly combustible gas using waste materials. The technology for producing combustible gas or syngas is an updraft gasification system, using an air gasification agent. To produce syngas that are not polluted with tar or other impurities, a CaO trap is used. CaO is installed in the syngas outlet before the cyclone. CaO is not heated separately but uses heat from the gasification reactor. The raw materials used are rice husks and wood, a mixture composition of 100% rice husks, 75% rice husks and 25% sawdust, 50% rice husks and 50% sawdust, 25% rice husks and 75% sawdust and 100% sawdust. The results of this research, a mixture of 50% rice husk + 50% sawdust produces gas with a high H_2 concentration and low CO where the highest H_2 concentration that can be achieved is 32.4%, the H_2 content is 14.6% without using a CaO absorber. A high

increase in H_2 concentration was obtained by adsorbing CaO. The mechanism for CO_2 adsorption by CaO is a heterogeneous reaction to produce CaCO₃.

References

- [1] Lindstad E, Tor Ø, Cariou P, Eskeland GS, Rialland A. Wise use of renewable energy in transport. Transp Res Part D. 2023;119(March).
- [2] Pellegrini L, Arsel M, Orta-martínez M, Mena CF. Institutional mechanisms to keep unburnable fossil fuel reserves in the soil. Energy Policy. 2021;149(May 2020).
- [3] Gani A, Munawar E, Mamat R, Rosdi SM. Investigation of The Potential Biomass Waste Source for Biocoke Production in Indonesia : A review. Energy Reports [Internet]. 2023;10:2417–38. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egyr.2023.09.065
- [4] Makwana J, Dhass AD, Ramana P V., Sapariya D, Patel D. An analysis of waste/biomass gasification producing hydrogen-rich syngas: A review. Int J Thermofluids [Internet]. 2023;20(October):100492. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijft.2023.100492
- [5] Antriyandarti E, Wuri S, Rusdiyana E. Consumers ' willingness to pay for local rice : Empirical evidence from Central Java, Indonesia. J Agric Food Res [Internet]. 2023;14(November):100851. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafr.2023.100851
- [6] Bandara YW, Gamage P, Gunarathne DS. Hot water washing of rice husk for ash removal : The effect of washing temperature , washing time and particle size. Renew Energy [Internet]. 2020;153:646–52. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2020.02.038
- [7] Huang X, Hu Z, Miao Z, Jiang E. ScienceDirect Chemical looping gasification of rice husk to produce hydrogen-rich syngas under different oxygen carrier preparation methods. Int J Hydrogen Energy [Internet]. 2020;45(51):26865–76. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2020.07.116
- [8] Simangunsong BCH, Sitanggang VJ, Manurung EGT, Rahmadi A, Moore GA, Aye L. Potential forest biomass resource as feedstock for bioenergy and its economic value in Indonesia. For Policy Econ [Internet]. 2020;81(May 2016):10–7. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2017.03.022
- [9] Cao Y, Bai Y, Du J. Air-gasification of pine sawdust using dolomite as in-bed material: Effects of gasification conditions on product characteristics. J Energy Inst [Internet]. 2021;95:187–92. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joei.2021.01.010
- [10] Mishra S, Upadhyay RK. Review on biomass gasification: Gasifiers, gasifying mediums, and operational parameters. Mater Sci Energy Technol [Internet]. 2021;4:329–40. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mset.2021.08.009
- [11] Chen R, Congress SSC, Cai G, Duan W, Liu S. Sustainable utilization of biomass waste-rice husk ash as a new solidified material of soil in geotechnical engineering: A review. Constr Build Mater [Internet]. 2021;292:123219. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2021.123219
- [12] Nunes LJR. Biomass gasification as an industrial process with effective proof-of-concept: A comprehensive review on technologies, processes and future developments. Results Eng [Internet]. 2022;14(March):100408. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rineng.2022.100408
- [13] Sikarwar VS, Zhao M, Fennell PS, Shah N, Anthony EJ. Progress in biofuel production from gasification. Prog Energy Combust Sci. 2017;61:189–248.
- [14] Tuan PD, Minh Quan L, Nhi VT, Huong HM, Phung LTK, Feng D. Enrichment of hydrogen in product gas from a pilot-scale rice husk updraft gasification system. Carbon Resour Convers [Internet]. 2022;5(3):231–9. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crcon.2022.07.003
- [15] Li W, Wu S, Wu Y, Huang S, Gao J. Gasification characteristics of biomass at a high-temperature steam atmosphere. Fuel Process Technol [Internet]. 2019;194(May):106090. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuproc.2019.05.013
- [16] AlNouss A, McKay G, Al-Ansari T. Production of syngas via gasification using optimum blends of biomass. J Clean Prod [Internet]. 2020;242:118499. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.118499
- [17] Maitlo G, Ali I, Mangi KH, Ali S, Maitlo HA, Unar IN, et al. Thermochemical Conversion of Biomass for Syngas Production: Current Status and Future Trends. Sustain. 2022;14(5).
- [18] Dinh P, Minh L, Trang V, Minh H, Thi L, Phung K, et al. Enrichment of hydrogen in product gas from a pilot-scale rice husk updraft gasification system. Carbon Resour Convers [Internet]. 2022;5(3):231–9. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crcon.2022.07.003
- [19] Gajera ZR, Verma K, Tekade SP, Sawarkar AN. Bioresource Technology Reports Kinetics of cogasification of rice husk biomass and high sulphur petroleum coke with oxygen as gasifying medium

via TGA. Bioresour Technol Reports [Internet]. 2020;11(May):100479. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biteb.2020.100479

- [20] Gao N, Śliz M, Quan C, Bieniek A, Magdziarz A. Biomass CO2 gasification with CaO looping for syngas production in a fixed-bed reactor. Renew Energy. 2021;167:652–61.
- [21] Wang N, Feng Y, Guo X. Atomistic mechanisms study of the carbonation reaction of CaO for hightemperature CO₂ capture. Appl Surf Sci [Internet]. 2020;532(April):147425. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2020.147425
- [22] Dong J, Nzihou A, Chi Y, Weiss-Hortala E, Ni M, Lyczko N, et al. Hydrogen-Rich Gas Production from Steam Gasification of Bio-char in the Presence of CaO. Waste and Biomass Valorization. 2017;8(8):2735–46.
- [23] Zhang S, Gao N, Quan C, Wang F, Wu C. Autothermal CaO looping biomass gasification to increase process energy efficiency and reduce ash sintering. Fuel. 2020;277(April).
- [24] Pei-hong HE, Wei-wei Z, Wei-zhuo ZHU, Sheng H, You-qing WU, Shi-yong WU. Evolution of carbon microstructure and gasification activity during rice husk gasification. J Fuel Chem Technol [Internet]. 2021;49(6):759–65. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1872-5813(21)60060-9
- [25] Makwana JP, Pandey J, Mishra G. Improving the properties of producer gas using high temperature gasification of rice husk in a pilot scale fluidized bed gasifier (FBG). Renew Energy. 2018.
- [26] Fabrice Christian, Mbeugang, Magoua, Li Bin, Lin Dan, Xie Xing, Wang Shuaijun, Wang Shuang, Zhang Shu, Huang Yong, Liu Dongjing, Wang Qian, Hydrogen rich syngas production from sorption enhanced gasification of cellulose in the presence of calcium oxide, Energy, 2021;228.