BIS ENERGY AND ENGINEERING
Volume 2 2025 | DOI: 10.31603/biseeng.368
6th Borobudur International Symposium on Science and Technology (BIS-STE) 2024
UNIMMA PRESS
Universitas Muhammadiyah Magelang

# Design and development of a portable diagnostic tester for fault detection in EFI vehicle control systems

Dwi Sudarno Putra[1*], Joe Vane N. Antifuesto[2], Budi Utomo Wisesa[1], Zainul Hamidi[1], Mutiara Vinta[1]

[1] Automotive Engineering Department, Universitas Negeri Padang, 25132, Indonesia
[2] Department of Autotronics Technology, University of Science and Technology of Southern Philippines
[*]Corresponding author email: dwisudarnoputra@ft.unp.ac.id

## Abstract
The evolution of automotive technology has significantly advanced with the adoption of Electronic Fuel Injection (EFI) systems, which offer superior fuel efficiency, environmental friendliness, and enhanced vehicle performance compared to traditional carburetor systems. However, the complexity of EFI systems necessitates sophisticated diagnostic tools, often inaccessible to small-scale workshops due to high costs and proprietary limitations. This study presents the design and development of a Portable Diagnostic Tester as an affordable and effective alternative for diagnosing faults in EFI control systems, compatible with both OBD I and OBD II systems. The Portable Diagnostic Tester was constructed using an ATMega 8 microcontroller, a 16x2 LCD display, photodiode sensors, and supporting circuitry. It decodes engine trouble codes via onboard diagnostics, displaying fault information with improved accuracy over manual methods. Testing was conducted on various EFI vehicles, demonstrating the Portable Diagnostic Tester's reliability in identifying issues such as sensor malfunctions. Notably, the device performed optimally under low-light conditions, with daytime performance affected by ambient light interference. The Portable Diagnostic Tester 's simplicity, cost-effectiveness, and accessibility provide a viable solution for small workshops, enabling efficient diagnosis of EFI issues without reliance on high-cost scan tools. Future enhancements could include extended compatibility, user-friendly interfaces, and additional functionalities to rival commercial diagnostic tools.

**Published:**
May 31, 2025



Selection and Peer-review under the responsibility of the 6th BIS-STE 2024 Committee

## Keywords
Portable diagnostic tester, Electronic fuel injection, Fault detection, OBD systems, Automotive diagnostics

## Introduction
The rapid advancements in automotive technology have transformed how vehicles operate, with the integration of Electronic Fuel Injection (EFI) systems being a notable innovation. EFI systems have replaced traditional carburetors, offering advantages such

as improved fuel efficiency, reduced emissions, and enhanced engine performance [1][2]. However, the complex nature of EFI systems presents unique challenges in maintenance and repair, particularly in diagnosing faults within the electronic control systems [3]. For small-scale workshops, access to advanced diagnostic tools is often limited due to high costs and restricted availability, leaving a gap in their ability to service EFI-equipped vehicles effectively [4].

To address the challenges associated with diagnosing EFI faults, numerous tools and techniques have been developed. These range from manual diagnostic methods, such as On-Board Diagnostic (OBD) systems, to sophisticated tools like commercial scan tools equipped with proprietary software. While OBD I systems provide basic diagnostic capabilities through manual code interpretation, OBD II systems offer more advanced diagnostics facilitated by scan tools [5]. However, these tools are often inaccessible to small workshops due to their cost and the proprietary nature of their software [6]. Consequently, there remains an unmet need for affordable, accessible, and reliable diagnostic solutions for EFI systems. Previous studies have primarily focused on enhancing the capabilities of existing diagnostic systems or developing advanced tools for specific vehicle models [7][8]. However, limited attention has been given to creating cost-effective alternatives that cater to both OBD I and OBD II systems while ensuring accuracy and ease of use. This gap highlights the potential for developing innovative diagnostic tools that bridge the affordability and functionality divide, empowering small-scale workshops to deliver reliable services.

This study introduces the concept of a Portable Diagnostic Tester, a microcontroller-based diagnostic tool designed to address the limitations of existing methods. By combining photodiode sensors with an intuitive LCD interface, the Portable Diagnostic Tester translates diagnostic codes into easily interpretable information, offering a practical solution for small workshops [9]. The device's compatibility with both OBD I and OBD II systems and its user-friendly design distinguish it from existing tools, making it a valuable contribution to the field of automotive diagnostics [10]. The primary objective of this work is to design, develop, and test the Portable Diagnostic Tester for its ability to accurately diagnose faults in EFI systems [5][6]. The study outlines the conceptual framework, technical design, and experimental evaluation of the tool, providing insights into its effectiveness and potential applications. Through this research, we aim to contribute to the advancement of accessible diagnostic technologies and support the broader adoption of EFI systems in the automotive industry.

## Method

This study focused on designing, developing, and testing a Portable Diagnostic Tester to address the diagnostic challenges in Electronic Fuel Injection (EFI) systems. The methodology was structured to ensure logical and reproducible steps, comprising three main stages: materials and tools, system design and development, and testing and evaluation.

### *Materials and tools*

The Portable Diagnostic Tester was constructed using key components including an ATMega 8 microcontroller, a 16x2 LCD display for visual output, photodiode sensors for detecting diagnostic signals, and supporting circuit elements such as resistors, capacitors, and power supplies [11]. A personal computer equipped with CodeVision AVR software was utilized for programming the microcontroller. The system was designed to process diagnostic codes from OBD I and OBD II vehicles, ensuring compatibility with a broad range of EFI systems. Established component specifications were adhered to, following best practices for microcontroller-based systems.

### *System design and development*

The development phase involved designing the circuit layout using Eagle software, followed by assembling the hardware components [12]. The photodiode sensors were configured to detect the blinking patterns of the "check engine" light, which represent diagnostic trouble codes [13]. The ATMega 8 microcontroller was programmed using CodeVision AVR to interpret these signals and display the corresponding fault information on the LCD [11]. Calibration of the sensors was performed to ensure accurate detection, accounting for factors such as ambient light interference.

### *Testing and evaluation*

The Portable Diagnostic Tester was tested on multiple EFI vehicles equipped with OBD II systems, including models from different manufacturing years. The testing procedures involved introducing controlled faults by disconnecting specific sensors, simulating real-world diagnostic scenarios. The device's performance was evaluated based on its ability to accurately identify faults and its operational stability under varying environmental conditions. Comparative analysis was conducted against manual diagnostic methods and commercial scan tools to validate the Portable Diagnostic Tester's effectiveness and reliability.

The testing also included a day and night analysis to assess the impact of ambient lighting on the photodiode sensor's accuracy. Results indicated optimal performance in low-light conditions, with some limitations observed under high ambient light. These findings were crucial for determining the Portable Diagnostic Tester's practical applicability in diverse workshop environments [14].

## Results and Discussion

### *Results*

The development and testing of the Portable Diagnostic Tester provided several notable findings regarding its functionality and effectiveness. The results align with the methodology sections, focusing on materials, system design, and testing outcomes.

a. Material performance

The ATMega 8 microcontroller, photodiode sensors, and 16x2 LCD worked seamlessly as intended. The photodiode sensors were calibrated successfully and accurately detected the "check engine" light signals under controlled conditions. However, the sensors showed varying levels of accuracy when exposed to high ambient light during daytime tests. This finding aligns with previous studies on optical sensor performance, highlighting photodiodes' susceptibility to environmental light interference [14]. Future improvements could incorporate alternative shielding techniques or adaptive calibration methods to mitigate these effects. Manufacturing steps can be seen in Figure 1.

(a) (b) (c) (d)

Figure 1. Manufacturing steps

b. System functionality

The microcontroller programming for diagnostic code interpretation proved reliable. The LCD correctly displayed fault codes and corresponding sensor malfunctions. Testing under different fault conditions (e.g., disconnected sensors) consistently yielded accurate fault detection, as demonstrated in Figure 2. This confirms previous findings by [5], which emphasize the reliability of microcontroller-based fault diagnostic systems for automotive applications. However, unlike sophisticated FPGA-based implementations, the current system has limitations in processing multiple faults simultaneously.

Figure 2. System functionality testing

c. Testing outcomes
   1) Daytime Performance: In high-light environments, sensor accuracy diminished due to ambient light interference, requiring optimized positioning for reliable detection. This is consistent with research by [11], which suggests that sensor shielding and signal amplification could improve performance under varying lighting conditions.
   2) Nighttime Performance: Tests conducted under low-light conditions showed optimal performance, with accurate detection of diagnostic codes without interference.
   3) Vehicle Compatibility: The Portable Diagnostic Tester was tested on multiple EFI vehicles equipped with OBD II systems, including Avanza models from different years. All tests confirmed that the Portable Diagnostic Tester provided accurate and consistent fault detection across the vehicles.

d. Limitations

   While the Portable Diagnostic Tester effectively displayed single fault codes, it struggled with multiple simultaneous fault codes, showing only the last detected fault instead of cycling through all present faults. This limitation aligns with findings from [7], who noted similar constraints in microcontroller-based diagnostic systems. Future iterations should incorporate software modifications to enable sequential fault display, similar to commercial diagnostic scan tools.

## *Discussion*

The findings demonstrate that the Portable Diagnostic Tester is a cost-effective and functional alternative for diagnosing faults in EFI systems, particularly for small-scale workshops. These results align with previous studies highlighting the need for affordable and efficient diagnostic tools [4][7]. For example, Reddy et al. [4] discussed the financial and operational barriers faced by small workshops in adopting high-end diagnostic systems, emphasizing the importance of developing low-cost alternatives. The Portable Diagnostic Tester addresses this gap by offering a reliable solution compatible with both OBD I and OBD II systems. However, the sensor's performance under varying light conditions highlights a key area for improvement. While nighttime testing confirmed optimal functionality, the reduced accuracy during daytime suggests a need for sensor optimization or shielding to minimize ambient light interference. This limitation is consistent with challenges noted in other studies involving optical sensors, emphasizing the importance of environmental conditions on sensor accuracy [14].

a. Performance under ambient conditions

   The sensor's performance under varying light conditions is consistent with challenges noted in other studies involving optical sensors. For instance, Ash Shiddiqy et al. [14] reported similar issues with photodiode sensors under high ambient light, suggesting that environmental factors significantly affect sensor accuracy. The reduced accuracy during daytime testing in this study underscores the need for sensor optimization or shielding to minimize interference.

b. Fault code handling

   The device's inability to handle multiple fault codes efficiently highlights an area for improvement. This limitation has also been observed in other entry-level diagnostic tools, as noted by Namigtle-Jiménez et al. [5], who emphasized the importance of comprehensive fault detection capabilities in modern diagnostic systems. Future iterations of the Portable Diagnostic Tester should incorporate programming modifications to cycle through all detected faults, ensuring a comprehensive diagnostic output comparable to advanced commercial scan tools [7]. This enhancement would significantly improve the tester's functionality, bringing it closer to competing with higher-end diagnostic devices.

c. Comparison with existing tools

   Compared to commercial diagnostic tools, which are costly and often proprietary [6], the Portable Diagnostic Tester provides a practical and accessible alternative for small workshops. Unlike high-end scan tools that may be financially out of reach for smaller operations, this device offers an affordable solution while maintaining essential diagnostic capabilities. However, its limited features, such as basic fault code display and restricted compatibility, highlight areas for further development to bridge the gap with more advanced tools. This aligns with research by [5], which emphasizes the need for affordable diagnostic solutions that maintain accuracy while reducing costs. Additionally, Ele et al. [7] highlighted the potential for integrating modular designs to enhance compatibility with various vehicle models, which could be a valuable direction for future development.

d. Novel contributions

   This study highlights the novelty of the Portable Diagnostic Tester in its focus on affordability and simplicity, filling a critical gap for small workshops. Unlike other diagnostic solutions that prioritize advanced features and high performance for larger automotive service centers [8], this tool prioritizes accessibility and ease of use. This makes it a valuable contribution to the field of automotive diagnostics, particularly in developing regions where access to expensive diagnostic tools is limited.

e. Recommendations for future research

This study highlights the novelty of the Portable Diagnostic Tester in its focus on affordability and simplicity, filling a critical gap for small workshops. The findings suggest several pathways for future research, including:

1) Enhancing sensor performance to improve accuracy in various lighting conditions.
2) Expanding fault code handling capabilities to accommodate multiple simultaneous faults.
3) Developing a modular design for compatibility with other vehicle diagnostic systems, including OBD I.
4) Exploring alternative sensor technologies that may offer greater accuracy and versatility.

By integrating these enhancements, the Portable Diagnostic Tester can evolve into a more competitive diagnostic solution, further supporting the adoption of EFI systems in automotive maintenance.

## Conclusion

This study successfully developed and tested a Portable Diagnostic Tester, addressing the challenges of cost and accessibility in diagnosing faults in Electronic Fuel Injection (EFI) systems. The device demonstrated reliability in detecting diagnostic trouble codes, particularly under controlled low-light conditions, fulfilling the research objectives outlined in the introduction. Its compatibility with OBD II systems and cost-effective design highlight its potential to bridge the gap for small-scale workshops lacking access to expensive commercial diagnostic tools. The discussion of findings underscores several key points. The Portable Diagnostic Tester is a practical solution for single fault code detection, though limitations remain in handling multiple simultaneous faults and performance under high ambient light. These challenges provide avenues for future enhancements, including sensor optimization and advanced programming to improve fault detection capabilities and operational efficiency.

This research contributes to the field by presenting a novel approach to affordable automotive diagnostics, paving the way for broader adoption and development of cost-effective tools in the automotive industry. Future studies may explore integrating advanced sensor technologies, expanding compatibility to include OBD I systems, and incorporating additional functionalities to rival commercial diagnostic solutions. By addressing these areas, subsequent work can build upon the progress demonstrated in this study, further advancing the accessibility and effectiveness of automotive diagnostic technologies.

## Acknowledgement

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the Universitas Negeri Padang (UNP) and University of Science and Technology of Southern Philippines (USTP) for their invaluable support in facilitating this collaborative research.


## References


[1] V. V. Pham and D. T. Cao, “A brief review of technology solutions on fuel injection system of diesel engine to increase the power and reduce environmental pollution,” Journal of Mechanical Engineering Research and Developments, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 1–9, 2019, doi: 10.26480/jmerd.01.2019.01.09.

[2] R. Patil, “Electronic fuel injection,” SAE Technical Papers, pp. 1–6, doi: 10.4271/820903.

[3] B. Mahr, “Future and Potential of Diesel Injection Systems,” Thermo- and Fluid Dynamic Processes in Diesel Engines 2, pp. 3–17, 2004, doi: 10.1007/978-3-662-10502-3_1.

[4] K. V. M. K. Reddy, B. M. Reddy, K. C. S. Reddy, P. Kartheek, and T. S. Adarsh, “Comparative investigation of electronic fuel injection in two-wheeler applications: A Review,” IOP Conf Ser Mater Sci Eng, vol. 1116, no. 1, p. 012073, 2021, doi: 10.1088/1757-899x/1116/1/012073.

[5] A. Namigtle-Jiménez, R. F. Escobar-Jiménez, J. F. Gómez-Aguilar, C. D. García-Beltrán, and A. C. Téllez-Anguiano, “Online ANN-based fault diagnosis implementation using an FPGA: Application in the EFI system of a vehicle,” ISA Trans, vol. 100, no. xxxx, pp. 358–372, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.isatra.2019.11.003.

[6] H. L. Gelgele and K. Wang, “An expert system for engine fault diagnosis: Development and application,” J Intell Manuf, vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 539–545, 1998, doi: 10.1023/A:1008888219539.

[7] B. I. Ele, S. I. Ele, and A. O. Ofem, “Development of an Intelligent Car Engine Fault Troubleshooting System (CEFTS),” West African Journal of Industrial and Academic Research, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 38-50–50, 2016.

[8] D. Du, X. Guo, and Q. Guan, “A fault diagnostic method for EFI engine based on MATLAB software package,” Proceedings - International Conference on Intelligent Computation Technology and Automation, ICICTA 2008, vol. 2, pp. 870–874, 2008, doi: 10.1109/ICICTA.2008.97.

[9] Y. S. Yaras et al., “Disposable cartridge biosensor platform for portable diagnostics,” Optics and Biophotonics in Low-Resource Settings III, vol. 10055, no. March 2017, p. 100550O, 2017, doi: 10.1117/12.2254729.

[10] S. K. Singh and A. K. Singh, “A study: OBD III standard and its predecessors OBD II and OBD I,” pp. 0–2.

[11] T. Deshpande and N. Jadhav, “Active Cell Phone Detection and Display Using Atmega-8 Microcontroller,” Int J Res Eng Technol, vol. 3, no. 8, pp. 2321–8843, 2015.

[12] T. Clarke, “The EAGLE Schematic & PCB Layout Editor - A Guide,” Most, no. November, pp. 1–12, 2011.

[13] C. Computer, “Eagle Tutorial,” 2014.

[14] M. Iqbal Ash Shiddiqy, “Performance Analysis of LDR, Photodiode, and BH1750 Sensors for Sunlight Intensity Measurement in Open Areas,” Signal and Image Processing Letters, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 11–26, 2024.